Critically Appraised Topic (CAT)

Project Team:

1B-5

Project Team Participants:

D4: Nisha Soni, D3: Macy Lentz, D2: Christian May, D1: Matthew Johnson

Clinical Question:

How does smoking affect the overall oral health of a patient?

PICO Format:

P:

Patient that smokes

l:

Periodontal disease

C:

Periodontal health

0:

Higher chance of developing oral cancer

PICO Formatted Question:

For a smoking patient, does having periodontal disease compared to periodontal health, increase the chance of developing oral cancer?

Clinical Bottom Line:

When smokers have periodontal disease, they are more likely to develop oral cancer when compared to smokers with periodontal health

Date(s) of Search:

9/20/2020

Database(s) Used:

PubMed

Search Strategy/Keywords:

Smoking, healthy, periodontal disease, bacteria

MESH terms used:

Cigarette smoking, chronic periodontitis, microbiology, healthy

Article(s) Cited:

Article 1:

Grant, M., Kilsgård, O., Åkerman, S., Klinge, B., Demmer, R. T., Malmström, J., & Jönsson, D. (2019). The Human Salivary Antimicrobial Peptide Profile according to the Oral Microbiota in Health, Periodontitis and Smoking. *Journal of innate immunity*, *11*(5), 432–444. https://doi.org/10.1159/000494146

Article 2:

Börnigen, D., Ren, B., Pickard, R., Li, J., Ozer, E., Hartmann, E. M., Xiao, W., Tickle, T., Rider, J., Gevers, D., Franzosa, E. A., Davey, M. E., Gillison, M. L., & Huttenhower, C. (2017). Alterations in oral bacterial communities are associated with risk factors for oral and oropharyngeal cancer. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 17686. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17795-z

Study Design(s):

Article 1: Individual Cohort Study
Article 2: Individual Cohort Study

Reason for Article Selection:

Article 1: Applies to PICO question Article 2: Relates to PICO question

Article(s) Synopsis:

Article 1:

Method:

- Collected saliva of 451 people from ages 20-89 years old
- 41 selected: 10 nonsmokers with periodontitis, 9 smokers with periodontitis, 11 nonsmokers with periodontal health, 11 smokers with periodontal health
- Salivary supernatant for peptides and pellet for bacteria
- Saliva was sampled and analyzed by checkerboard DNA-DNA hybridization
- Correlations were graphed and analyzed

Results:

- AMPs remained significant in nonsmokers after an age-adjusted ANCOVA
- No difference in abundance of specific AMPs in periodontally healthy smokers compared to nonsmokers
- Healthy smokers = higher quantities of cystatins, CGRP yellow complex (S. mutans and S. salivarius)
- Periodontitis smokers = \$100 proteins (potentially from lysing neutrophils)
- Average degree: healthy nonsmoker 1.2, healthy smoker 2.3, periodontitis nonsmoker 3.4, periodontitis smoker 7.4)

Limitations:

- Small subject size (too expensive to test all 451 subjects)
- Gingivitis included since periodontal health was determined by pocket depth and bone loss
- Some criteria may have been too vague (i.e. how many packs did/does each person smoke and for how many years etc.)

Article 2:

Method:

- 121 oral cancer cases matched with 242 controls
- Evaluated alocohol & tobacco use, periodontal disease/health, case-control status, and composition of oral microbiome
- **DNA** extraction
- 16S rRNA gene sequencing, OTU

Results:

- Stronger shifts in microbiome in relation to tooth loss than other factors

- Structure and function of the oral microbiome changes in association with status of
oral cancer
- Shift towards anaerobic microbes in oral cancer patients
- Patients with no remaining natural teeth have a large shift in oral microbiome
Levels of Evidence: (For Therapy/Prevention, Etiology/Harm)
See http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=1025
□ 1a – Clinical Practice Guideline, Meta-Analysis, Systematic Review of Randomized Control
Trials (RCTs)
□ 1b – Individual RCT
☐ 2a — Systematic Review of Cohort Studies
☑ 2b – Individual Cohort Study
☐ 3 – Cross-sectional Studies, Ecologic Studies, "Outcomes" Research
☐ 4a – Systematic Review of Case Control Studies
☐ 4b – Individual Case Control Study
☐ 5 – Case Series, Case Reports
☐ 6 — Expert Opinion without explicit critical appraisal, Narrative Review
☐ 7 – Animal Research
□ 8 – In Vitro Research
Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT) For Guidelines and Systematic Reviews
See article J Evid Base Dent Pract 2007;147-150
☑ A – Consistent, good quality patient oriented evidence
☐ B – Inconsistent or limited quality patient oriented evidence
\square C – Consensus, disease oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series for
studies of diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or screening
Conclusion(s):
Article 1:
- RNase 7 is 19x's more abundant in periodontal health vs disease in nonsmokers
- RNase is inversely correlated with bacteria, but has not been studied with periodontal

disease before

- Periodontitis smoker samples had the most connections
- Smoking impacts pathogenesis of periodontitis largely
- The microbes in the oral cavity are impacted by both periodontal and smoking status

Article 2:

- Some alterations in microbiome with oral cancer patients, tobacco smokers, and periodontitis patients
- Significant changes in the shift of the microbiome after complete tooth loss
- Tooth loss alone is a major risk factor for oral cancer
- Past smoking habits did not significantly effect the oral microbiome