Critically Appraised Topic (CAT)

Project Team:	
4A5	
Project Team Participants:	
Alyssa Perlick (Marchetti), Eleni Langas, Suanet Negron-Valdez, Mansour Moha	ammed
Clinical Question:	
When should impacted canines be uncovered and what is the best way to go a	bout it.
PICO Format:	
P:	
Click here to enter text.	
I:	
Click here to enter text.	
C:	
Click here to enter text.	
0:	
Click here to enter text.	
PICO Formatted Question:	
Click here to enter text.	
Clinical Bottom Line:	
Click here to enter text.	
Date(s) of Search:	
Click here to enter text.	
Database(s) Used:	
Click here to enter text.	
Search Strategy/Keywords:	
Click here to enter text.	
MESH terms used:	
Click here to enter text.	
Article(s) Cited:	
Click here to enter text.	
Study Design(s):	
Click here to enter text.	
Reason for Article Selection:	
Click here to enter text.	
Article(s) Synopsis:	
Click here to enter text.	
Levels of Evidence: (For Therapy/Prevention, Etiology/Harm)	
See http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=1025	

☐ 1a — Clinical Practice Guideline, Meta-Analysis, Systematic Review of Randomized Control
Trials (RCTs)
□ 1b – Individual RCT
□ 2a – Systematic Review of Cohort Studies
□ 2b – Individual Cohort Study
☐ 3 – Cross-sectional Studies, Ecologic Studies, "Outcomes" Research
☐ 4a – Systematic Review of Case Control Studies
☐ 4b — Individual Case Control Study
☐ 5 – Case Series, Case Reports
☐ 6 – Expert Opinion without explicit critical appraisal, Narrative Review
□ 7 – Animal Research
□ 8 – In Vitro Research
Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT) For Guidelines and Systematic Reviews
See article J Evid Base Dent Pract 2007;147-150
☐ A – Consistent, good quality patient oriented evidence
☐ B – Inconsistent or limited quality patient oriented evidence
\Box C – Consensus, disease oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series for
studies of diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or screening
Conclusion(s):
Click here to enter text.